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Zoom Meetings  
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Fall 2020

“The way we make meaning, then, matters the world around us. In other words, it shapes our own bodies and other earth bodies around us, it co-creates with others the eco-social worlds in which we live. Just as the substance-based metaphysic and meaning-making practice found in Western style metaphysics and its corresponding mechanistic model of science hel[p(ed] to create the nature-cultures of the industrial revolution and all that entails, so now non-substance-based metaphysic and the corresponding interrelated and living models of science found in non-equilibrium thermodynamics, chaos and complexity, and other postmodern sciences are beginning to create new nature-cultures. Thus our meaning-making practices don’t so much reflect metaphysics and ontologies as they do serve as regimes of truth in the Foucauldian sense.” (Bauman, Religion and Ecology: Developing a Planetary Ethic, 108)

Room: Zoom  
Instructor: Whitney Bauman  
Office: Zoom  
Office Hours: By appointment  
Phone: 305-348-3348  
Email: wbauman@fiu.edu

COURSE DESCRIPTION
Religion, if nothing else, is about the critical process of re-reading and re-connecting human beings into some sort of meaningful narrative about reality. In this sense, religion is part of what it means to be human: regardless as to whether one is atheist, agnostic, or a believer that identifies with some sort of faith tradition, we all, as human beings, make meaning out of our daily lives. As such, “religion” is not confined to the narrow definition of “world religions.” Furthermore, religion has historically shaped societal institutions such as economics and politics and therefore, the study of these religious influences is important. Finally, religions matter bodies in the world: they shape what become societal norms for eating, dressing, sexuality, gender, race, and relationships to the rest of the natural world. This course is a seminar-style (not a lecture-based) course aimed at introducing MA students to various issues and methods associated with the contemporary study of religion. As you will note, “religious studies” is a “field” and not a “discipline,” which means that it uses a variety of disciplines to study the central subject: in this case, religion. We will explore methods in religious studies from the 19th century (when the academic study of religion began in earnest) to the present and ask questions such as: What is religion and why does it matter? How

---

1 Syllabus is subject to change. Required purchased text, course requirements, and course time will not change, but some of the reading materials may depending on the context of the Fall 2020 semester.
does religion function in our daily lives to affect institutions, identity, and the rest of the natural world? How do the contexts of globalization and the plurality of religious expressions change the identity of religious traditions? What new expressions of religion are emerging in the context of what contemporary sciences tell us about the human being and the rest of the natural world around us? And, how does the study of religion rely on interdisciplinary analyses of the phenomena we call “religious”?

Though time does not allow us to take on a full, historical approach of the study of “religion” since the early 19th century, we will question the ways in which “religion” is defined and by whom. Furthermore, we will explore the various differences in how religions are / are not separated off from a “secular” space. Why is religion generally not taught in public schools in the United States? Why is “theology” not a part of the public university in the US as it is in say, Germany or Indonesia (and many other places)? Are there other models of secularism than that which totally separates religion out from politics, economics, and legal institutions? Even in its so-called absence, religion shapes our daily lives in the west, and the aim of this course is to provide you with some tools to think more critically about “how.”

MODALITY
This course will be seminar style, meaning that it is not a lecture based, but rather discussion-based course. It is important that you prepare by doing the readings. The course will be “remote,” meaning that there will be “live” Zoom seminars on Mondays from 2:00-4:30pm EST. We will have a break at some point during each session, so that we can all deal with the fatigue that comes alone with being in online conversations.

I recognize that this is a strange time for everyone, so I am going to be lenient on deadlines, within reason. The only exception is that it is really important to do the weekly readings, or it will be hard for us to have discussions ☺.

AIM OF THE COURSE
This course will provide students with the theoretical tools and methods for analyzing the functions and purposes of religion in contemporary societies, and will provide critical thinking skills for dealing with religious plurality in a given context.

LEARNING OUTCOMES
On completing this course, students will know relevant “methods and theories” used in the academic study of religion, and be familiar with some of the major issues in the field. Furthermore, each student will be given the tools to critically analyze the ways in which religions (and meaning-making practices in general) affect institutions, bodies, daily life, and human relationships with the rest of the natural world.

REQUIREMENTS
1. Class Participation—30%
   The first step to doing well in this class is to show up! You can’t be a part of the learning community if you are not present. If you have to be absent from the course, please notify me ahead of time. Any more than two absences (unless there are extenuating circumstances) will result in a lowering of your participation grade. (Just attending all sessions gets you 10 points.)
   The second step to doing well in this course is to read the materials. I trust that you will read the materials for each week’s class. Further, each of you will submit a leading question for our weekly discussions; these questions will become the basis for our discussion. I will put “reading
charts” online (on Canvas), which can be used for you as a guide in developing your weekly questions. (This will count for 10 points)

The third step to doing well in the class is to speak up during course discussions. I realize that we all exist on a spectrum between introversion and extroversion, but remember that the classroom is as good as all of the voices therein. We all lose if we don’t hear your voice at some point(s) throughout the semester. Toward this end, each of you will sign up to lead us in our discussion through the readings for one week. We will sign up for these on the third week of class. (This will count for 10 points)

The classroom is a community of learners. That is, we are all in the process of critically engaging the lectures and course materials together. Learning should be a collaborative process and it will take all of us to learn this semester. Having said that, there are some ground rules that should be followed in course discussions and assignments.

1. Confidentiality: Sometimes we are exploring serious issues in the course that may be hard to speak about or give voice too. I expect that students will respect one another’s privacy in this course and allow room for this type of exploration.

2. Trust and Respect: The classroom is a learning community and it is only as good as the relationships of the people that make up the classroom. Give your classmates the benefit of the doubt before jumping to conclusions about what is said. Also, be sure to state your opinions, questions, ideas and beliefs in a way that is not intentionally disrespectful to others in the class. While freedom of expression, and challenging assumptions is encouraged in this classroom, hate speech will not be tolerated.

3. Academic Honesty. In all written materials, students will be expected to cite sources. Plagiarizing and “Copying” from other students may result in a failing grade. Grading for written assignments will follow the Rubric that is handed out on the first day.

4. Students should be aware of everyone in the classroom and enable each person to contribute to the conversation. Likewise, each participant should refrain from dominating class discussion.

2. Investigation of an Academic Journal: Research Methods—30%
Each student is expected to research a peer-reviewed, academic journal in the field of Religious Studies. There are plenty in the FIU library, and plenty that can be accessed through your FIU library account, online. Please take a look at the Academic Journal Library Resource Guide online. Please ok your journal with me by class on September 21st. The point of the project is to look over the publication history of the journal and answer the following three (interrelated) questions in no more than 2500 words (double-spaced, 12pt font, 1-inch margins). 1) Give a history of the journal: where is it published, what academic approaches are represented in the journal, who are the primary (if any) theoretical figures that loom in it, what voices within the study of religion does it “leave out,” and what unique questions does it explore. 2) Given the Editorial Mission of the journal, what are two major issues or debates that the journal has focused on in the last 10 years? (pick two and explore the arguments/discussions). 3) How does the journal problematize the issue of “religion”: is it theoretical/theological/philosophical, practical/ethical, economic, sociological, historical, anthropological, etc.? You should include here description(s) of the way(s) the journal examines religion: comparative, single tradition, religion in some broader sense, religion and culture, religion and gender, religion and nature, religion and secularism, religion and queer theory, etc. Within this section, note how it fits in with the wider, overall field of religious studies.

Using the Three subheadings (mentioned in points 1, 2, and 3 in the preceding paragraph), your analysis should be no more than 2500 words, double-spaced, 1-inch margins, 12pt font. These will be shared with other members of the course and turned in online on October 12th. You
should read each other’s projects and send each other comments by October 19th, when we will discuss these in class. As with all of our seminar discussions, each student should pose questions for other class member’s journal projects. The point of this project is to analyze various ways of looking at the study of religion from academic perspectives. It should be seen as a way, also, to help you focus in on a method for your final paper (and perhaps even your thesis).

As with all written assignments in this class, you must use the Turabian Guide and follow the “Notes and Bibliography” style. This is one of the required texts for the course and you can find a shortened version of it here: https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/turabian/turabian-notes-and-bibliography-citation-quick-guide.html/

3. Final Paper—40%
Each student is expected to write a final paper for the course of no more than 5000-7000 words, double-spaced, 12pt font, 1-inch margins. This paper will examine a single theorist we have discussed throughout the course, or one of your own choosing with my permission. In examining your figure, please spend equal time on the following three headings. 1) Historical: what is the historical, social, and geographical location of the figure? Who is he/she arguing against? What are his/her primary influences? What events in the life of the theorist are most important in terms of how he/she develops? 2) Theoretical: what are the main contributions of the theorist? What field or fields has he/she influenced? How have his/her ideas been modified or changed by others? 3) Contemporary Relevance: given the context we find ourselves in today, why does this thinker matter? How would this thinker address issues of pluralism, globalization, racism, sexism, sexuality, and the contemporary global environmental crisis?

An abstract for this paper will be due on October 26th. We will all discuss these abstracts on November 2nd. Each student must have questions for each of the abstracts to discuss in class on this date.

During our final class period, November 30th, instead of reading discussions, you will each be expected to have a discussion as if you were the theorist you are researching; I will pose questions ahead of time, and you will play the part of the theorist you have been studying in answering them. So don’t wait until the last minute to do your paper! This “character” dialogue/discussion will take place during the last week of class.

As with all written assignments in this class, you must use the Turabian Guide and follow the “Notes and Bibliography” style. This is one of the required texts for the course and you can find a shortened version of it here: https://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/turabian/turabian-notes-and-bibliography-citation-quick-guide.html/

The final paper will be due online, during our scheduled finals time.

PLAGIARISM POLICY
This course adheres strictly to FIU’s plagiarism policy:

This Policy views plagiarism as one form of academic misconduct, and adopts the definition of the university’s Code of Academic Integrity, according to which plagiarism is:

the deliberate use and appropriation of another’s works without any indication of the source and the representation of such work as the student’s own. Any student who
fails to give credit for the ideas, expressions or materials taken from another source, including internet sources, is guilty of plagiarism.

Examples of plagiarism include, but are not limited to:

1. Term papers acquired online or from other sources;
2. Copying of original material without attribution;
3. Use of other students' work;
4. Copying and pasting, verbatim, information from Internet sources, without quotation marks and correct citation.

Plagiarism will result in a failing grade for the course and a referral to the College of Arts and Sciences Dean of Students for academic misconduct.

**GRADING SCALE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>96-100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-</td>
<td>90-95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B+</td>
<td>87-89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>83-86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-</td>
<td>80-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C+</td>
<td>76-79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>70-75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>60-69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>59 and below</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REQUIRED TEXTS**

Masuzawa, Tomoko. *The Invention of World Religions* (University of Chicago, 2005).

Selected online readings (see syllabus).

**IMPORTANT WEB RESOURCES**

American Academy of Religion: [www.aarweb.org](http://www.aarweb.org)
Religion in the Schools: [https://www.aarweb.org/about/religion-schools](https://www.aarweb.org/about/religion-schools)
Religion and Ecology: [http://fore.yale.edu/](http://fore.yale.edu/)
Religion and Nature: [www.religionandnature.com](http://www.religionandnature.com)
Religion, Gender and Sexuality: [https://clgs.org/resources/](https://clgs.org/resources/)
Religion and Race: [http://dlxs2.library.cornell.edu/r/racerel/](http://dlxs2.library.cornell.edu/r/racerel/)
Comparative Religions: [http://www.interfaith.org/](http://www.interfaith.org/)
Religion and Sociological Studies: http://www.pewforum.org/
Teaching Religion and Theology: http://www.wabashcenter.wabash.edu/home/default.aspx

SCHEDULE

Week 1, August 24: Review Syllabus; Getting to know one another; developing a theoretical definition of religion.
  Reading: King, Chapter 1; Schilbrack, chapter 1.

Week 2, August 31: Questioning “Religion”
  Reading: Tomoko Masuzawa, The Invention of World Religions, Intro through Chapter 3; King, Chapter 2.

September 7: Labor Day, Holiday, No Class

Week 3, September 14: Perspectives in the Field: Steve Vose
  Reading: Tomoko Masuzawa, The Invention of World Religions, Chs. 4-7.

Week 4, September 21: Perspectives in the Field: Iqbal Akhtar and Carlos Grenier
  Readings: Tomoko Masuzawa, The Invention of World Religions, Chs. 8-9.

  Choose Journal to Research (send to me by email by this date)
  Sign up for reading discussions (see blank spaces below)

Week 5, September 28: Religion and Modernity
  Reading: Mignolo, Intro and Part I

Week 6, October 5: Religion and Modernity
  Reading: Mignolo, Part II and Part III
  Discussant: __________________________

Week 7, October 12: Methods in Religious Studies
  Readings: Pals, chapters 1-3; King, chapter 11.

  Turn in Journal Research for all class members to read; Please read and comment online!

Week 8, October 19: Methods in Religious Studies / Journal Discussion
  Reading: Pals, chapters 4-6; King chapter 4-5.
  Discussant: __________________________

Week 9, October 26: Methods in Religious Studies
  Reading: Pals, chapters 7-9; King, chapter 9/10.
Turn in Abstracts for the whole class to read; please read and make comments and send back to one another.

Week 10, November 2: Abstract discussion/Critical, Constructive Retrieval
Reading: Mignolo, Part IV; King chapters 12/13.
Discussant: ________________________________

Week 11, November 9: Critical, Constructive Retrieval
Reading: King, Part III; and Part IX.
Discussant: ________________________________

Week 12, November 16: Critical, Constructive Retrieval
Reading: King Part, X-XII.
Discussant: ________________________________

Week 13, November 23, Pluralism and the Study of Religion
Reading: Schilbrack, Chapter’s 4-7.

Week 14, November 30th: Theorists React / Course Wrap Up
Discussion: Taking on the perspective of the subject of your final paper

Questions to discuss in class from the perspective of the theorist you choose:
1) Given the context of religious and cultural pluralism, and the issues of colonization, racism, sexism, heterosexism that we have discussed throughout the semester, is “religion” an inherently colonizing and universal enterprise or might we come to understand a religion that is multi-perspectival and open to other religions and non-religious meaning-making practices?

2) How might religion address contemporary issues of human identity in an era of globalization (when we are all “hybrids”) and in an era of climate change (when we realize that humans are not the center of the universe and that our actions are destroying the planet?) Is there a way out of a “return” to nationalism and parochialism, or the continuation of neo-liberal globalization as usual, both of which are colonial and destructive for many people and much of life on the planet?

Final Papers due by the time scheduled for our final!